IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUIT No. 2058 of 1990

M/s.M.R. Maheshwari .. Plaintiff
versus
Himmatlal J. Ajmera &Ors. .. Defendants

...

Mr.P.N. Ganwani i/b S.N. Shah for the plaintiff.
None present for the defendants.

CORAM : D.G. KARNIK, J

DATED : 23rd June 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard learned counsel for the plaintiff. None present for the defendants. Counsel for the plaintiff points out that the defendants have not filed written statement and prays for a decree under Order 8 Rule 10 of the CPC.

2. Suit is a simple money suit. The defendants have not filed written statement. Therefore, averments made in the plaint are deemed to be admitted. Suit of the plaintiff is decreed under Order 8 Rule 10 of the Code of Civil Procedure in terms of the prayer clause.

(D.G. KARNIK, J)

.. Plaintiff

versus

.. Defendants

...

Mr.

Mr.

CORAM : D.G. KARNIK, J

DATED : 23rd June 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard learned counsel for the plaintiff. None present for the defendant. Counsel for the plaintiff points out that the defendans have not filed written statement and prays for a decree under Order 8 RUle 10 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

2. Suit is a simple money suit. The defendants have not filed written statement. Therefore the averments made inthe plaint are deemed to be accepted.

Suit of the plaintiff is decreed under Order 8 Rule 10 of the Code of Civil Procedure in terms of the prayer clause.

(D.G. KARNIK, J)